

DLA Piper LLP (US)
1251 Avenue of the Americas
27th Floor
New York, New York 10020-1104
www.dlapiper.com

Anthony Paul Coles T (212) 335-4844 E anthony.coles@us.dlapiper.com

August 4, 2020

Hon. Katherine Polk Failla United States District Court, Southern District of New York 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007

Re: Uniformed Fire Officers Association, et al. v. Bill de Blasio, et al. No. 20-cv-05441 (KPF) (RWL)

Dear Judge Failla:

On behalf of Plaintiffs (the "Unions"), we write asking for the Court's attention and further order on two discovery issues. We have met and conferred with counsel for Defendants by letter and email on these issues, but have not been able to reach agreement. The Court previously ordered discovery to be completed by August 7, so these issues are of some urgency.

- 1. <u>Document Requests Nos. 5 and 6</u>. On July 28, the Court ordered Defendants to produce the documents sought by the Unions' document requests Nos. 5 and 6. On July 30, Defendants asked the Court to reconsider and withdraw the order requiring them to produce documents covered by requests Nos. 5 and 6 (ECF No. 60, Ex. 1 below). On July 31 the Unions opposed Defendants' request, and also proposed as a compromise a stipulation designed to provide some of the information requested (ECF No. 63, Ex. 2 below). Today, August 4, Defendants confirmed that they "do not agree to enter into the stipulation Plaintiffs proposed in their July 31, 2020 letter to the Court, annexed as Exhibit A. *See* ECF No. 63." (Ex. 3 below.) The Unions therefore request that the Court deny Defendants' request for reconsideration and direct Defendants to produce immediately on a rolling basis the documents sought by document requests Nos. 5 and 6. The reasons have already been fully presented to the Court in the Unions' July 31 letter responding to Defendants' July 30 letter. (*See* Exs. 2 and 1.)
- 2. <u>Defendants' failure to produce any documents, including those Defendants</u> <u>produced to NYCLU almost three weeks ago</u>. The Court ordered expedited discovery in this case two weeks ago on Wednesday, July 22, 2020 (Tr. 83:16-25), and ordered further discovery on July 28, 2020. But Defendants have not yet produced <u>any</u> documents to the Unions. Defendants have also not said when they will produce any documents, or when they will provide the declarations the Court ordered them to provide on discovery issues. Defendants' failure to provide any discovery is not consistent with the Court's orders.



Hon. Katherine Polk Failla August 4, 2020 Page Two

It is also not consistent with the demonstrated ability of the City and the CCRB to provide information at extraordinary speed to NYCLU. NYCLU received records on 81,000 officers some two business days after NYCLU requested them. But although the Defendants have had for weeks the same ready-at-hand means of producing those same documents to the Unions in compliance with this Court's order, the Defendants have failed to do so.

The Defendants' refusal to provide ordered discovery has already prejudiced the Unions in preparing for the Rule 30(b)(6) depositions ordered by the Court, and continues to prejudice the Unions in preparing for both those depositions and for the preliminary injunction hearing.

The Unions therefore ask the Court to order immediate production of the records provided weeks ago to NYCLU, as well as the other expedited discovery ordered by the Court, including production of the documents sought by Defendants' requests Nos. 5 and 6.

Very truly yours,

Tony Coles
Anthony Paul Coles

rm

cc: All Counsel of Record (*via* ECF) failla\_NYSDChambers@nysd.uscourts.gov